

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/698,624	11/03/2003	Hidehei Kageyama	No. 56	3413
21254 7:	590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		3727	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,624	KAGEYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James N. Smalley	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory positive. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fronte, te, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05.</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2, 14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-9,15 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a cordinate and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	—	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/698,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 14-15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kick US 5,167,338. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kick US 5,167,338.

Kick '338 teaches a container lid comprising an open end (51), a lid body (5), a vertical wall (11) adjacent the opening, a portion of the lid (47) adjacent the vertical wall, a journaling portion and a journaled portion on the lid, and an interengaging protrusion and recess (9) on the journaled portions.

See column 3, lines 29-32 teaching the snap fit of stud protrusion (43) and groove (9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 14 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeParales et al. US 4,183,443 in view of Kick US 5,167,338.

DeParales '443 teaches a container lid comprising an open end (34), a lid body (11), a vertical wall (35/36/37) adjacent the opening, a portion of the lid (46) adjacent the vertical wall, a journaling

portion and a journaled portion (14/22) on the lid, but fails to teach a protrusion engaging a recess between the journaled portions.

Kick '338 teaches an interengaging protrusion and recess (9) on the journaled portions. See column 3, lines 29-32 teaching the snap fit of stud protrusion (43) and groove (9). Such a resilient snap connection provides a more secure type of engagement than the type disclosed in DeParales '443.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lid of DeParales '443, providing the interengaging protrusion and groove as taught by Kick '338, motivated by the benefit of providing a more secure connection to retain the rotating cover on the lid.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 as filed on 09 November 2005 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 10-13 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 3-9, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3727

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jns

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED